## REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE

Form Approved OMB NO. 0704-0188

of information, including suggestions for re	educing this burden to Washington TT	or information. Schie Commi	e time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data soundent regarding this burden estimates or any other aspect of the conformation Operations and Reports, 1215 Jefferson Davi	rces,
1. AGENCY USE ONLY ( Leave Bla	nk)  2. REPORT DATE	aperwork Reduction Project  1 March 2002	3. REPORT TYPE AND DATES COVERED FINAL: 21 Sept 1998 - 20 Sept 2000	s iligiiway,
4. TITLE AND SUBTITLE Electronically Excited Oxygen			5. FUNDING NUMBERS DAAG55-98-1-0515	
6. AUTHOR(S) David M. Silver				
7. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES) Johns Hopkins University Applied Physics Laboratory 11100 Johns Hopkins Road Laurel, MD 20723-6099			8. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER 2000-1	
9. SPONSORING / MONITORING AGENCY NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES)  U. S. Army Research Office P.O. Box 12211 Research Triangle Park, NC 27709-2211			10. SPONSORING / MONITORING AGENCY REPORT NUMBER 37298-EL	
i positic	m, poney of decision, unless so (	are those of the autlesignated by other of	thor(s) and should not be construed as an ordination.	fficial
12 a. DISTRIBUTION / AVAILABILITY STATEMENT  Approved for public release; distribution unlimited.			12 b. DISTRIBUTION CODE	
show that electronically ex involving a manifold of elemuch lower than normal coinstance, through ene-react significance of this result is properties of internal comb be explained. A further resinsight into their electronic between oxygen atoms in a	search project was to exploentaneous ignition, cool flar cited oxygen participates in extronically excited moleculombustion temperatures, ele- tions) at rates that are 10-20 is that the oxygen excitation custion engines and that the full is that a valence bond ar structure. The significance	nes, and unusual a cool flame com- lar species. At electronically excit times faster than process can be e spontaneous oxidalysis of assemble of this result is in	ectronically excited oxygen in a varied structural forms of oxygen. The resubustion through a chain mechanism levated temperatures of 600 K that a ted oxygen reacts with hydrocarbons in quenching of the excited state. The exploited to enhance the fuel burning idation (combustion) of liquid fuels belies of oxygen molecules provides in explaining the nature of bonding	sults re s (for e
14. SUBJECT TERMS electronic excitation, oxygen,	combustion, molecular structure		15. NUMBER OF PAGES  16. PRICE CODE	
17. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OR REPORT UNCLASSIFIED NSN 7	18. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION ON THIS PAGE UNCLASSIFIED	19. SECURITY CLA OF ABSTRACT UNCLASS	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	

20030605 (199

Standard Form 298 (Rev.2-89) Prescribed by ANSI Std. 239-18 298-102

## REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE (SF298) (Continuation Sheet)

Final Report: Electronically Excited Oxygen – 37298-EL – DAAG55-98-1-0515

Period: 21 September 1988 – 20 September 2000

David M. Silver, Johns Hopkins University Applied Physics Laboratory, 11100 Johns Hopkins Road,

Laurel, MD 20723-6099

Phone: 240-228-6265 - Fax: 240-228-6904 - E-mail: David.M.Silver@jhuapl.edu

### (A) Statement of the problem studied

The problem studied in this research project was the inefficiency in the combustion process in a normal diesel engine. The question posed was what is the role of electronically excited oxygen in the combustion process? Can electronically excited oxygen be used to improve the performance of a diesel engine? A further problem studied was the electronic structure of extended molecular systems containing oxygen, especially as pertaining to electronically excited oxygen.

## (B) Summary of the most important results

The results show that electronically excited oxygen,  $O_2(^1)_g$ ), participates in cool flame combustion through a chain mechanism involving a manifold of electronically excited molecular species. The chain reaction consists of the following three processes:

$$R_a + O_2({}^{1}\Delta_g) \xrightarrow{k_1} R_a O_2 \tag{1}$$

$$R_a O_2 \xrightarrow{k_2} {}^{3,1} R_b CO^* + R_c CO \tag{2}$$

$$^{3,1}R_bCO^* + O_2\left(^3\Sigma_g^-\right) \xrightarrow{k_3} ^{1,3}R_bCO + O_2\left(^1\Delta_g\right)$$

$$\tag{3}$$

The first of these reactions  $(k_1)$  is a spin allowed reaction of an organic molecule (fuel)  $R_a$  forming an organic peroxide, hydroperoxide or endoperoxide,  $R_aO_2$ , depending on the composition of  $R_a$ , steric factors and conditions. As an example, the reaction of singlet delta excited oxygen with olefins is a 1,2 cycloaddition process<sup>1-3</sup> forming dioxetanes. These dioxetanes are thermally unstable and decompose  $(k_2)$  into organic carbonyls, ketones and aldehydes, where one of the product carbonyl species, represented by  $^{3,1}R_bCO^*$ , is found in an excited electronic state with triplet or singlet spin and the other is in the ground state, symbolically  $R_cCO$ . The excited carbonyl molecule undergoes an energy transfer reaction  $(k_3)$  with excitation of ground state oxygen to regenerate singlet delta excited oxygen. This energy transfer reaction has been seen to be efficient for both triplet and singlet excitation of the carbonyl  $^{1,4-12}$ . The enhancement of methane and ethylene cool flames by the photoexcitation of formaldehyde  $^{13}$  can be explained through energy transfer excitation of oxygen to the singlet delta state, as in  $k_3$ , followed by the chain,  $k_1$  to  $k_3$ .

The chain reaction is vulnerable to termination through both radiative and radiationless degradation modes

$$R_b CO^* + M \xrightarrow{k_4} R_b CO + M \ \{+h\nu\}$$
 (4)

$$O_2({}^{1}\Delta_g) + M \xrightarrow{k_5} O_2({}^{3}\Sigma_g^{-}) + M \quad \{+h\nu\}$$

$$\tag{5}$$

The production of excited carbonyl products  $(k_2)$  in the decomposition of the organic peroxides leads to the formaldehyde-like chemiluminescence  $(k_4)$  of these reactions<sup>1,4-11</sup>. The radiative emission of the carbonyl  $(k_4)$  has been measured<sup>14,15</sup> as  $10^{-6}$  photons per excited  $R_xCO^*$ , implying both a weak emission and the possibility of a reasonable chance for the chain-sustaining reaction with ground state oxygen. Quenching<sup>16-19</sup> of the singlet delta excited oxygen  $(k_5)$  can occur with a number of possible collision partners, M, where  $O_2(^1)_g$ ) itself is one of the more efficient quenchers<sup>20-22</sup>. However, the  $O_2(^1)_g$ ) -  $O_2(^1)_g$ ) dimole radiation at 0.633: is not prominent in cool flames, probably because the concentration of  $O_2(^1)_g$ ) is low relative to other species. This suggests perhaps a reasonable probability of reaction with the starting hydrocarbon, which is initially in greater abundance. The radiationless processes are expected to be relatively slower.

At elevated temperatures of 600 K that are much lower than normal combustion temperatures, electronically excited oxygen reacts with hydrocarbons in reaction (1) above (for instance, through ene-reactions<sup>23</sup>) at rates that are 10-20 times faster than quenching<sup>24</sup> of the excited state in reaction (5) above. This is an indication that the linear chain mechanism of reactions (1)-(3) above is a realistic and viable possibility for cool flame combustion processes.

Thermodynamic conditions within a diesel cylinder leading to significant reduction in ignition delay are consistent with cool flame spontaneous ignition. The significance is that reduction in ignition delay is accompanied by a reduction in the amount of fuel burned in the pre-mixed combustion phase and an increase in the mixing-controlled phase, thus leading to improvement in diesel performance (less knock and soot). The research involved investigating methods of gaining control of this process through the infusion of energy during the diesel cycle to excite oxygen to its electronically excited singlet spin state,  $O_2(^1)_g$ ).

A further result is that a valence bond analysis<sup>25-26</sup> of assemblies of oxygen molecules provides insight into their electronic structure. The significance of this result is in explaining the nature of bonding between oxygen atoms in an extended molecular system.

## (C) Listing of all publications and technical reports supported under this grant or contract

Technical reports submitted to ARO: Interim Report 1998-1, 24 April 1999 Interim Report 1999-1, 31 March 2000

# (D) List of all participating scientific personnel showing any advanced degrees earned by them while employed on the project

David M. Silver, PhD

## (E) Report of Inventions (by title only)

none

#### (F) Bibliography

- Turro, N. J. Modern Molecular Photochemistry; Benjamin/Cummings: Menlo Park, California, 1978; Chap. 14.
- 2. Kearns, D. R. Chem. Rev. 1971, 71, 395-427.

- 3. Kearns, D. R. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1969, 91, 6554-6563.
- 4. Schaap, A. P., ed. Singlet Molecular Oxygen; Halsted Press, Wiley: New York, 1976.
- 5. Wasserman, H. H.; Murray, R. W., Eds. Singlet Oxygen; Academic Press: New York, 1979.
- 6. Frimer, A. A., Ed. Singlet O2 Volume I: Physical-Chemical Aspects, Volumes II and III: Reaction Modes and Products, Parts 1 and 2; CRC Press: Boca Raton, Florida, 1985.
- 7. Khan, A. U.; Kasha, M. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1966, 88, 1574-1576.
- 8. Wayne, R. P. Advan. Photochem. 1969, 7, 311-371.
- 9. Ogryzlo, E. A. In ref. 5, pp. 35-58.
- 10. Khan, A. U. In ref. 6, Volume I, pp. 39-79.
- 11. Wilson, T. In ref. 6, Volume II, pp. 37-65.
- 12. Gorman, A. A.; Rodgers, M. A. J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1989, 111, 5557-5560.
- 13. Norrish, R. G. W.; Patnaik, D. Nature 1949, 163, 883-885.
- 14. Day, R. A.; Pease, R. N. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1940, 62, 2234-2237.
- 15. Topps, J. E. C.; Townend, D. T. A. Trans. Faraday Soc. 1946, 42, 345-353.
- 16. Foote, C. S. In ref. 5, pp. 139-171.
- 17. Matheson, I. B. C.; Lee, J.; Yamanashi, B. S.; Wolbarsht, M. L. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1974, 96, 3343-3348.
- 18. Schmidt, R. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1989, 111, 6983-6987.
- 19. Mikkelsen, K. V.; Xgren, H. J. Phys. Chem. 1990, 94, 6220-6227.
- 20. Khan, A. U.; Kasha, M. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1970, 92, 3293-3300.
- 21. Matheson, I. B. C.; Lee, J.; Yamanashi, B. S.; Wolbarsht, M. L. Chem. Phys. Letters 1974, 27, 355-358.
- 22. Fisk, G. A.; Hays, G. N. Chem. Phys. Letters 1981, 79, 331-333.
- 23. Heidner, R.F.; Gardner, C.E., El-Sayed, T. M., Segal G. I., Kasper, J. V. V. J. Chem. Phys. 1981, 74, 5618.
- 24. Ashford, R. D.; Ogryzlo, E. A.J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1975, 97, 3604.
- 25. Silver, D. M. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1974, 96, 5959-5967.
- 26. Silver, D. M.; Karplus, M. JAm. Chem. Soc. 1975, 97, 2645-2654.

MASTER COPY: PLEASE KEEP THIS "MEMORANDUM OF TRANSMITTAL" BLANK FOR REPRODUCTION PURPOSES. WHEN REPORTS ARE GENERATED UNDER THE ARO SPONSORSHIP, FORWARD A COMPLETED COPY OF THIS FORM WITH EACH REPORT SHIPMENT TO THE ARO. THIS WILL ASSURE PROPER IDENTIFICATION. NOT TO BE USED FOR INTERIM PROGRESS REPORTS; SEE PAGE 2 FOR INTERIM PROGRESS REPORT INSTRUCTIONS.

#### **MEMORANDUM OF TRANSMITTAL**

U.S. Army Research Office ATTN: AMSRL-RO-BI (TR) P.O. Box 12211 Research Triangle Park, NC 27709-2211

Reprint (Orig + 2 copies)	☐ Technical Report (Orig + 2 copies)			
Manuscript (1 copy)	Final Progress Report (Orig + 2 copies)			
	Related Materials, Abstracts, Theses (1 copy)			
CONTRACT/GRANT NUMBER: 3	37298-EL			
REPORT TITLE:				
is forwarded for your information.				
SUBMITTED FOR PUBLICATION TO (applicable only if report is manuscript):				

Sincerely,

David M. Silver Johns Hopkins University Applied Physics Laboratory 11100 Johns Hopkins Road Laurel, MD 20723-6099